Institut für Computergraphik und Algorithmen Technische Universität Wien Karlsplatz 13/186/2 A-1040 Wien AUSTRIA Tel: +43 (1) 58801-18601 Fax: +43 (1) 58801-18698 Institute of Computer Graphics and Algorithms

Vienna University of Technology

email: technical-report@cg.tuwien.ac.at

other services: http://www.cg.tuwien.ac.at/ ftp://ftp.cg.tuwien.ac.at/

TECHNICAL REPORT

Exploded Views for Volume Data

Stefan Bruckner

M. Eduard Gröller

TR-186-2-05-2 April 2006

Keywords: illustrative visualization, exploded views, volume rendering

Exploded Views for Volume Data

Stefan Bruckner

M. Eduard Gröller*

Institute of Computer Graphics and Algorithms Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Figure 1: Interactive exploded-view illustration with increasing degrees-of-explosion.

Abstract

Exploded views are an illustration technique in which an object is partitioned into several segments. These segments are displaced to reveal otherwise hidden detail. In this paper we apply this concept to volumetric data in order to solve the general problem of occlusion. In many cases an object of interest is occluded by other structures which nonetheless provide important context information. While transparency or cutaways can be used to reveal a focus object, the problem of these techniques is that they remove parts of the information. Exploded views, on the other hand, do not suffer from this drawback. Our approach employs a force-based model: the volume is divided into a part configuration controlled by a number of forces and constraints. The focus object exerts an explosion force causing the parts to arrange according to the given constraints. We show that this novel and flexible approach allows for a wide variety of explosion-based visualizations including viewdependent explosions. Furthermore, we present a highquality GPU-based volume ray casting algorithm for exploded views which allows rendering and interaction at several frames per second.

CR Categories: I.3.3 [Computer Graphics]: Picture/Image Generation—Display algorithms; I.3.3 [Computer Graphics]: Picture/Image Generation— Viewing algorithms **Keywords:** illustrative visualization, exploded views, volume rendering

1 Introduction

When rendering truly three-dimensional information in scientific visualization, such as, for example, medical data acquired from computer tomography, an important problem is to deal with occlusion. Because of occlusion, normally not all of the data can be shown concurrently. Frequently, the user wants to examine an object of interest within the volumetric data set. In many cases depicting this focus object on its own is not sufficient the user is interested in exploring it within the context of the whole data set. To solve the problem of occlusion the context can be assigned a different - more sparse - visual representation, for example by reducing its opacity. This adjustment can even be performed locally, so the representation only changes for those parts of the context which actually occlude the focus [29, 2]. In illustration, cutaways and ghosting techniques are used for this purpose. However, the drawback of these approaches is that parts of the context information are still removed or suppressed. If it is instructive to retain the context even when it occludes the focus structure, illustrators often employ exploded views.

Basically, in an exploded view the object is decomposed into several segments which are moved apart so that internal details are visible (see Figure 2). This

^{*}e-mail: {bruckner | groeller}@cg.tuwien.ac.at



(a)

(b)

Figure 2: An early example of exploded views by Leonardo da Vinci – also note the smaller depictions which show the use of different explosion setups ("The babe in the womb", c.1511).

does not only give a free view on the focus but also potentially reveals other interesting information, such as cross-sections of the split object. The advantage of exploded views is that they simultaneously convey the global structure of the depicted object, the details of individual components, and the local relationships among them. In this paper we want to explore the power of exploded views for illustrative focus+context volume visualization. We present an approach that is capable of producing high quality exploded depictions of volume data at interactive frame rates. One application of our framework is the generation of highly detailed anatomic illustrations from scanned data (see Figure 3).

The paper is structured as follows: In Section 2 we discuss related work. Section 3 presents our approach for the generation of exploded views from volumetric data sets. In Section 4 we detail our rendering algorithm. The paper is concluded in Section 5.

Figure 3: (a) Plastinated anatomic model in Gunther von Hangens' "Bodyworlds" exhibition (image courtesy of http://www.bodyworlds.com). (b) Interactive exploded-view illustration generated with our framework.

2 Related Work

The concept of cutting away parts of the volume to reveal internal structures is quite common in volume visualization. Nearly every volume renderer features simple clipping operations. Wang et al. [30] introduced volume sculpting as a more flexible approach for exploring volume data. The work of Weiskopf et al. [31] focuses on interactive clipping operations using arbitrary geometry to overcome the limitations of common clipping planes. Konrad-Verse et al. [20] use a deformable cutting plane for virtual resection. The work of Dietrich et al. [10] consists of clipping tools for the examination of medical volume data. Owada et al. [24, 25] present a system for modeling and illustrating volumetric objects using artificial cutting textures based on surface models. Chen et al. [7] introduced the concept of spatial transfer functions as a theoretical foundation for modeling deformations in volumetric data sets. Islam et al. [19] extend this work by using discontinuities (i.e., splitting of the volume).

Some approaches employ a curve-skeleton [8]. The curve-skeleton is a reduced representation of a volu-

metric object which can be generated using techniques such as volume thinning [14]. Gagvani et al. [15] animate volume data sets using a skeleton-based approach. The interactive system presented by Singh et al. [27] allows manual editing of volume deformations based on a skeleton. They extend this work by introducing selective rendering of components for improved visualization [26]. Correa et al. [9] use traversal of the skeleton tree to illustrate properties such as blood flow.

Exploded views have been investigated in the context of architectural visualization by Niedauer et al. [23]. Finally, McGuffin et al. [22] were the first to thoroughly investigate the use of automated exploded views for volume visualization. Their approach features several widgets for the interactive exploration of volume data. The drawback of their work, however, is that they rely on an existing decomposition of the volume into layers. Their approach is also limited to cuberille [18] rendering.

One of the main contributions of this paper is that it presents an approach for the automated generation of exploded views from volume data which does not rely on extensive object information. We distinguish between focus and context using a fuzzy degree-of-interest function. Rather than manually specifying a transformation for each part of the context, we present an automatic technique which produces a three-dimensional layout of the parts. Our approach is also capable of re-arranging the parts dynamically based on the viewpoint. We further employ a simple interaction metaphor for specifying part geometry. Finally, we present a high-quality GPU-based volume ray casting approach for the rendering of exploded views at interactive frame rates.

3 Generating Exploded Views from Volume Data

Our approach distinguishes between two basic objects derived from the volumetric data set. The *selection* (see Figure 4a) is the current focus object specified by a selection volume. The selection volume defines a real-valued degree-of-interest function [11]. A sample of the selection volume at any position indicates the degree-of-interest for the corresponding data sample, where one means most interesting and zero means least interest-ing. Thus, the selection object comprises all data samples with non-zero degree-of-interest. The advantage of this definition is that it allows smooth transition between focus and context.

Everything that is not selected is part of the *background* (see Figure 4b) which represents the context. We want to achieve that segments of the background object can



Figure 4: Object setup for exploded views. (a) selection object. (b) background object. (c) background object decomposed into parts.

undergo a transformation while the selection remains unaffected. Thus, we divide the space covered by the background into an arbitrary number of non-intersecting parts P_i (see Figure 4c). Each part is defined by its geometry G_{P_i} and its transformation T_{P_i} . For simplicity, we introduce the restriction that each part is convex – concave objects can be formed by grouping together several convex parts. In general, the geometry of a part does not correspond to the shape of the actual object contained in the part (which is determined by the selection volume, the data volume, and the specified transfer function) but merely bounds the space that can be occupied by this object. It is therefore sufficient to represent the part geometry by a bounding polygonal mesh.

Using this setup we can generate exploded views where the parts are moved away to reveal the selection. However, it can be very tedious and time-consuming to manually specify the transformation for each part. We want a simple global mechanism to specify how "exploded" a view should be. Therefore, we introduce a *degree-ofexplosion* parameter. When the degree-of-explosion is zero all parts remain untransformed. By increasing the degree-of-explosion, the user can control how much of the selection is revealed.

While it would be possible to use an ad-hoc method for displacing parts according to the degree-of-explosion, we choose to employ a force-based approach. In graph drawing, force-directed layout techniques model connectivity information through physical forces which can be simulated [12, 13]. Because of the underlying analogy to a physical system, force-directed layout methods tend to meet various aesthetic standards, such as efficient space filling, uniform edge lengths, and symmetry. They also have the advantage of enabling the visualization of the layout process with smooth animations. For these reasons, we control our explosion using a rigidbody physics engine [1]. Our goal is not to simulate physical reality which would require a far more sophisticated model including tissue properties, deformation, and many other aspects. We rather want to supply the user with a simple and intuitive interface to interactively generate exploded visualizations of volumetric data sets. New types of explosions can be generated just by adding additional forces and constraints. Furthermore, the laws of Newtonian physics are generally well understood by humans resulting in a behavior that "feels right".

3.1 Part Geometry

An important step in generating an exploded view is specifying the part geometry. We provide a simple interface for rapid interactive decomposition of a volumetric data set. Our approach is based on the *splitting metaphor*: the user starts out with a single part which corresponds to the bounding box of the background object. By interactive splitting of this part along arbitrary planes as well as grouping and hiding parts the user can define complex part geometries with immediate feedback. Our interface provides three simple tools to split parts:

- Axis splitter. By clicking on a point on screen, the user splits the first part that is intersected by the corresponding viewing ray. The part is split along a plane which passes through the intersection point. Its normal is the cross product between the viewing direction and the horizontal or vertical axis of the projection plane.
- **Depth splitter.** The user clicks on a point. A viewing ray is cast which records the first intersection with the background object. The corresponding part is then split along a plane at the depth of the intersection point. The plane is parallel to the projection plane.
- **Line splitter.** The user can draw a line segment. For each part it is determined if the projection of the part intersects the line segment. All parts which intersect the line segment are split along a plane which projects to the line.

As exploded views frequently employ splits based on object symmetry, these tools provide an intuitive way of specifying and refining part geometry. Despite the small set of operations, the concept is quite powerful as it operates in a view-dependent manner. The user can interactively rotate the volume and partition it in a natural way. In addition to this interface, our approach



Figure 5: View-dependent exploded views. (a) Exploded view without viewing force – a part occludes the selection (dark blue). (b) Exploded view with viewing force – the occlusion is resolved.

could straight-forwardly employ automatically defined part geometry, for example by using a pre-computed curve-skeleton.

3.2 Force Configuration

Force-directed layout approaches arrange elements such as the nodes of a graph by translating the layout requirements into physical forces. A simple setup uses repulsive forces between all nodes and attractive forces between nodes which are connected by an edge. A simulation is performed until the system reaches a state of minimal energy. The corresponding node positions constitute the layout.

Our problem is similar. We want to arrange threedimensional objects in such a way that they reveal another object, but with as little displacement as possible. Like in an atomic nucleus or a planetary system we want to achieve a steady state where the attractive forces and the repulsive forces are in equilibrium. For this reason we need to define a number of forces based on our requirements:

Return force. This attractive force tries to move the parts towards their original location. Each vertex of the part geometry is connected with its original (i.e. untransformed) position. The force F_r is realized as a logarithmic spring:

$$F_r = c_r \ln(\|r\|) \cdot \frac{r}{\|r\|} \tag{1}$$

where r is the vector from the vertex's current position to its original location and c_r is a constant factor. The reason for using the logarithmic relationship of the force's magnitude to the distance is that this mapping tends to produce less oscillation



Figure 6: Exploded view of a turtle with increasing degree-of-explosion from left to right.

than the linear relationship of Hook's law. The total return force for a part is normalized by dividing it by the number of vertices.

Explosion force. We want to generate a force that drives the specified parts away from our selection object. Our idea is to generate a force field which describes the characteristics of our selection object. Each point of the selection exerts a distancebased force on every part. In order to keep the number of points low, we use an octree-based approach. We generate two min-max octrees; one for the data volume and one for the selection volume. Each node stores the minimum and maximum data values respectively selection values of the represented region. We traverse the two octrees simultaneously and generate an explosion point for each homogeneous octree node that contains both visible data values under the current transfer function and nonzero selection values. We add a small random bias to the position to prevent any artifacts caused by the regular structure of the octree. The explosion point is also weighted according to the size of the region corresponding to the octree node. Each explosion point in this point set exerts a force F_e on every part P_i :

$$F_e = \frac{c_e}{e^{\|r\|}} \cdot \frac{r}{\|r\|} \tag{2}$$

where *r* is the vector from the point to the closest point of the part geometry of P_i and c_e is a scaling factor. The force is applied to the closest point of the part geometry and can therefore also generate a torque. The exponential fall-off is chosen to limit the force's influence to a region nearby the point. The total explosion force is normalized by dividing it by the number of explosion points.

Viewing force. So far we have only considered viewindependent explosions, i.e., the movement of parts does not consider the current viewpoint. In traditional illustration this problem typically does not occur as the viewpoint is fixed and the exploded view is specifically generated to be most appropriate for this single viewpoint. In an interactive system, however, we must consider that the user can rotate the camera arbitrarily. For this reason we introduce a view-dependent force which attempts to arrange parts so that they do not occlude the selection for the current viewing transformation. We follow the work of Carpendale et al. [5, 6] who use similar techniques for the layout of threedimensional graphs.

We project each of the explosion points to the image plane. For a part P_i we determine the point along the viewing ray corresponding to the projection which is closest to the center of P_i . The force F_{γ} is then:

$$F_{\nu} = \frac{c_{\nu}}{\|r\|} \cdot \frac{r}{\|r\|}$$
(3)

where *r* is the vector from the closest point along the viewing ray to the center of the body and c_v is a scaling factor. The total force for a part is normalized by dividing it by the number of explosion points.

Figure 5 shows an example for the influence of the viewing force. In 5a the explosion force displaces the parts but disregards the viewpoint. The occlusion is resolved in 5b by adding the viewing force.

Spacing force. In order to prevent clustering of parts, we also add a repulsive force F_s . For a part P_i , the spacing force exerted by another part P_i is:

$$F_s = \frac{c_s}{\|r\|^2} \cdot \frac{r}{\|r\|} \tag{4}$$

where r is the vector from the center of P_j to the center of P_i and c_s is a constant scaling factor. The total spacing force for a part is normalized by dividing it by the number of parts.

The scaling factors of explosion force, viewing force, and spacing force, c_e , c_v , and c_s , are scaled with the global degree-of-explosion parameter. The return force remains constant. Additionally, the contribution of the three forces to the total degree-of-explosion can be modified, e.g., the user can reduce view dependency or increase spacing. In general, however, the user mostly interacts with the degree-of-explosion. Figure 6 shows a simple part configuration for different degrees-ofexplosion.

3.3 Constraints

While the force configuration discussed in the previous section can be used to generate expressive exploded view visualizations, it is sometimes useful to constrain the movement of parts. Therefore, our approach allows the interactive addition of joints which restrict the relative movement of parts. Available joints include sliders, hinges, ball joints, and universal joints. Additionally, the user can provide an importance for individual parts by modifying their mass. Parts with higher masses will be less affected by the individual forces. Thus, these parts will be less affected by the explosion. The user can restrict a part from being displaced by assigning an infinite mass. This is particularly useful to easily create break-away illustrations where typically only one section of the object is moved away.

An example for the use of constraints is shown in Figure 1 where two hinges are used. In Figure 7 the left part of the face has been assigned infinite mass. The right part of the face is divided into several parts which are connected by a slider joint. As the degree-of-explosion is increased these parts move along the free axis to reveal the skull.

By specifying constraints the user can effectively add structural information that is missing from the raw data set. It is easily possible to generate interactive illustrations which allow exploration within the limits of the constraints specified by the designer. An interesting component in this context is the viewing force. Although the movement of a part is constrained, it is still affected by the viewing force and therefore moves



Figure 9: Modulating transparency by the viewing force. As the two lower parts move away, their transparency reduces since the viewing force gets weaker. The upper part stays transparent because it is static – therefore the viewing force remains constant.

within the given limits to reveal the selection. An examples is shown in Figure 8 where two parts are connected by a hinge joint. As the camera rotates the effect of the viewing forces causes the parts to orient themselves towards the viewer.

Constraining part movements may result in arrangements with partial occlusions of the selection object. Different visual representations can be employed to resolve these conflicts. Based on the viewing force that acts on a part we can modify the sparseness of the representation, for example by modifying its transparency. An example of this behavior is show in Figure 9.

4 Interactive Exploded View Rendering

Fast rendering is a key requirement for an interactionbased approach like the one presented in this paper. In this section, we describe the implementation of a highquality GPU-based ray casting algorithm for exploded views. Until recently, volume rendering on the graphics hardware was only possible using a multi-pass approach [21].

This has changed with the advent of conditional loops and dynamic branching in shaders. Now it is possible to implement a fragment program which completely traverses a ray [28]. Apart from the quality improvements, this allows for common acceleration techniques like early ray termination.

For rendering an exploded view we need to be able to render a volumetric data set consisting of a background and a selection object. The background object is decomposed into several non-intersecting convex parts which can have arbitrary affine transformations. The selection object also has its assigned transformation and can



Figure 7: Exploded view using constrains to limit part movement. The skull is selected. The left part of the face is static, the remaining parts are connected by a slider joint which limits their movement to a translation along one axis.



Figure 8: Interaction between constraints and viewing force. All parts except the two upper parts of the leg are static. These two parts are connected by hinges similar to a swing door. As the camera rotates the viewing force causes the parts to orient towards the viewer.

intersect any part. Furthermore, we want to support empty space skipping and early ray termination. Therefore we assume that we have geometry enclosing the visible volume under the current transfer function for both background and selection object. The use of this kind of bounding structures for empty space skipping is very common in volume rendering. They are frequently based on hierarchical data structures. In our implementation, we use min-max octrees for both data volume and selection volume.

We have developed a GPU-based ray casting algorithm which meets all of the above requirements. Our approach makes use of conditional loops and dynamic branching available in Shader Model 3.0 GPUs. It was implemented in C++ and OpenGL/GLSL.

An overview of our basic rendering algorithm is given in Algorithm 1. We start by performing a visibility sort of the parts. Next, we generate the entry and exit points for

Algori	thm 1 Basic rendering algorithm
perfo	rm visibility sorting of the parts
gener	ate initial entry and exit points
perfo	rm initial ray casting
for a	ll parts <i>P_i</i> in front-to-back order do
ge	nerate entry and exit point for P_i (see Section 4.1)
pe	rform ray casting for P_i (see Section 4.2)
end f	or

the segments of the selection located in front of any part and perform the ray casting step for these regions. These two steps are actually simplified cases of the general iteration steps described in Sections 4.1 and 4.2. Then we iterate through the parts in front-to-back order. For each part P_i we first establish the entry and exit points of the viewing rays for both background and selection object. Then we use this information for performing ray casting of the part. Figure 10 illustrates the algorithm.



Figure 10: Example of our exploded-view ray casting approach. The parts are processed in front-to-back order, empty space skipping is performed based on object and part bounding geometries. The potential sample positions (not taking into account any early ray termination) are shown for each part.

4.1 Entry and Exit Point Generation

Generally, the background entry and exit buffers always contain the entry and exit points of the viewing rays for the intersection between background bounding geometry and the part geometry. Essentially, we are using the depth buffer to perform a CSG intersection between these objects which can be simplified since the part geometry is always convex. As parts of the selection can be located in regions which are not contained in any part, the entry and exit buffers for the selection need to be generated in a slightly different way.

At startup, we generate four off-screen buffers which can be bound to a texture. For this purpose, we use the recently introduced framebuffer object extension. In these buffers we store the ray entry and exit points for both background and selection. A fragment program is bound which writes out the volume texture coordinates under the current object transformation to the red, green, and blue components and the fragment depth in viewing coordinates to the alpha component. The volume texture coordinates are later used for computing the ray direction while the depth is used in order to optimize compositing. We then perform the following operations to setup the entry and exit buffers for selection and background:

Background. For the exit points the depth buffer is cleared to one and the alpha component of the color buffer is cleared to zero. Color writes are disabled. The depth test is set to ALWAYS and the front faces of the part geometry are rendered. Then color writes are enabled again, the depth test is set to GREATER, and the background object's bounding geometry is rendered. Finally, the depth test is set to LESS and the part's back faces are rendered.

For the entry points, we clear the depth buffer to zero and the alpha component of the color buffer to one, disable color writes, and set the depth test to ALWAYS. Then the back faces of the part geometry are rendered. Next, color writes are enabled again, the depth test is set to LESS and the background object's bounding geometry is rendered. Finally, the depth test is set to GREATER and the front faces of the part geometry are rendered.

Selection. For the exit points the depth buffer is cleared to zero. Then the selection's bounding geometry is rendered with the depth test set to GREATER. As it is possible that parts of the selection are not included in any part, we then set the depth test to LESS and render all parts located behind the current part.

For the entry points the depth buffer is cleared to one. The depth test is set to LESS and the bounding geometry of the selection is rendered. Then the depth test is set to GREATER and the part's bounding geometry is rendered.

We also need to handle the case when parts of the selection are located in front of all parts. This is done analogously to the iteration with the only difference that the background does not have to be taken into account for both the entry point determination and the ray casting step. Thus, the selection entry points do not need to be clipped. The selection exit points are clipped against all part geometries.

4.2 Multi-Object Ray Casting

The ray casting pass uses the entry and exits points for rendering the volumetric object contained in the current part. The volume texture coordinates stored in the red, green, and blue components of the entry and exit point buffers are used to compute the ray direction. The depth value stored in the alpha component is used to determine which objects need to be composited. If the intervals of background and selection do not overlap, they can be composited sequentially. If they overlap, however, multi-object compositing must be performed in the intersection region, i.e., two rays have to be traversed simultaneously. The contributions of both objects at a sample point can be combined using fusion functions [4], intersection transfer functions [3], or alternating sampling [17].

The pseudocode given in Algorithm 2 shows the determination of the intervals from the entry and exit points. *CompositeBackground* and *CompositeSelection* perform single volume ray casting for background and selection, respectively, and *CompositeBackground-Selection* performs multi-volume compositing. The functions *BackgroundToSelection* and *SelectionToBackground* transform between the background and the selection coordinate systems. This is necessary as the background and selection entry and exit points are given for the respective object transformation.

To perform the ray casting for a part P_i we bind a fragment program which implements Algorithm 2 and render the front faces of the part geometry. The result is blended into a framebuffer object for subsequent display.

4.3 Performance

As the parts are non-intersecting, the visibility sorting can be performed at object level rather than at primitive level. Since the number of parts will be relatively low, this step introduces practically no overhead. We use a GPU-based visibility sorting approach which employs occlusion queries [16].

For fast rendering of the object and part bounding geometry, we employ vertex buffer objects, i.e., the geometry is uploaded to GPU memory whenever it is modified (e.g., transfer function change) and can be subsequently rendered at very high frame rates.

Our ray casting shader contains dynamic branching and conditional loops which could have a significant overhead. In our benchmarks, however, we have noticed that the impact of these operations is comparably low.

number of parts	frames/second	percent of reference
1	8.53	96%
2	7.95	89%
4	6.83	76%
8	5.57	62%
16	4.89	55%
32	3.68	41%
64	2.41	27%

Table 1: This table gives the frame rates (middle column) and the performance as compared to a reference ray caster (right column) of our exploded view renderer for different numbers of parts (left column). The viewport size was 512×512 and the object sample distance was 1.0. The data set dimensions were $256 \times 256 \times 166$. Transfer function and selection were specified as in Figure 4. The reference ray caster disregards the part configuration and selection but otherwise uses the same routines. This renderer achieved 8.97 frames/second. Test system: Intel Pentium 4, 3.4 GHz CPU, NVidia GeForce 6800 GT GPU.

This might be due to the fact that there is high coherence in the branches taken between fragments and the approach therefore benefits from branch prediction. To verify this, we have compared our exploded-view renderer with a reference implementation of a conventional single-pass GPU ray caster. Both implementations use identical compositing and shading routines, but the standard ray caster ignores part transformations and the selection object. The selection object is placed inside the background object (see Figure 4a), the transfer function is set to a steep ramp (see Figure 4b), and the part transformations are set to identity. Thus, the image generated by both implementations is the same and we only measure the overhead of our exploded-view rendering. The results of this comparison are given in Table 1. We see that our approach scales well - the frame rate drops sublinearly with the number of parts and the performance for a single part is almost identical. Considering the greatly increased flexibility of our rendering approach, we believe that these results are quite promising.

5 Conclusion

Exploded views are a powerful concept for illustrating complex structures. In this paper we have presented a novel approach for generating exploded views from volumetric data sets. Our method attempts to make as little assumptions as possible while still automating laborious tasks. Instead of manually displacing parts, the user defines constraints which control the part arrangement. View-dependent explosions result in a dynamic part arrangement within the specified constraints while the user explores the object. Coupled with fast highquality rendering, our framework for exploded view volume visualization features an intuitive direct manipulation interface.

In future work we plan to investigate the integration of our interactive approach with methods for automated skeleton extraction. One could imagine a system where the user can design illustration templates including joints and other constraints. This structure could then be matches with the skeleton extracted from another data set.

Acknowledgements

The work presented in this publication is carried out as part of the exvis ation project (http://www.cg.tuwien.ac.at/research/vis/exvisation) supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) grant no. P18322.

References

- [1] Newton Game Dynamics. http://www.newtondynamics.com
- [2] S. Bruckner, S. Grimm, A. Kanitsar, and M. E. Gröller. Illustrative context-preserving volume rendering. In *Proceedings of EuroVis 2005*, pages 69–76, 2005.
- [3] S. Bruckner and M. E. Gröller. VolumeShop: An interactive system for direct volume illustration. In *Proceedings of IEEE Visualization 2005*, pages 671–678, 2005.
- [4] W. Cai and G. Sakas. Data intermixing and multi-volume rendering. Computer Graphics Forum, 18(3):359–368, 1999.
- [5] M. S. T. Carpendale, D. J. Cowperthwaite, and F. D. Fracchia. Distortion viewing techniques for 3-dimensional data. In *Proceeding of the IEEE Symposium on Information Visualization 1996*, pages 46–53, 1996.
- [6] M. S. T. Carpendale, D. J. Cowperthwaite, and F. D. Fracchia. Extending distortion viewing from 2D to 3D. *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, 17(4):42–51, 1997.
- [7] M. Chen, D. Silver, A. S. Winter, V. Singh, and N. Cornea. Spatial transfer functions: a unified approach to specifying deformation in volume modeling and animation. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Volume Graphics 2003*, pages 35–44, 2003.
- [8] N. Cornea, D. Silver, and P. Min. Curve-skeleton applications. In Proceedings of IEEE Visualization 05, pages 95–102, 2005.
- [9] C. D. Correa and D. Silver. Dataset traversal with motion-controlled transfer functions. In *Proceedings of IEEE Visualization 2005*, pages 359–366, 2005.
- [10] C. A. Dietrich, L. P. Nedel, S. D. Olabarriaga, J. L. D. Comba, D. J. Zanchet, A. M. Marques da Silva, and E. F. de Souza Montero. Realtime interactive visualization and manipulation of the volumetric data using GPU-based methods. In *Proceedings of Medical Imaging 2004*, pages 181–192, 2004.
- [11] H. Doleisch and H. Hauser. Smooth brushing for focus+context visualization of simulation data in 3D. *Journal of WSCG*, 10(1):147–154, 2002.
- [12] P. Eades. A heuristic for graph drawing. Congressus Numerantium, 42:149–160, 1984.

- [13] T. M. J. Fruchterman and E. M. Reingold. Graph drawing by forcedirected placement. *Software - Practice and Experience*, 21(11):1129– 1164, 1991.
- [14] N. Gagvani and D. Silver. Parameter-controlled volume thinning. Graphical Models and Image Processing, 61(3):149–164, 1999.
- [15] N. Gagvani and D. Silver. Animating volumetric models. Graphical Models and Image Processing, 63(6):443–458, 2001.
- [16] N. K. Govindaraju, M. Henson, M. Lin, and D. Manocha. Interactive visibility ordering and transparency computations among geometric primitives in complex environments. In *Proceedings of the ACM Symposium* on Interactive 3D Graphics and Games 2005, pages 49–56, 2005.
- [17] S. Grimm, S. Bruckner, A. Kanitsar, and M. E. Gröller. Flexible direct multi-volume rendering in interactive scenes. In *Proceedings of the International Fall Workshop on Vision, Modeling, and Visualization 2004*, pages 379–386, 2004.
- [18] G. T. Herman and H. K. Liu. Three-dimensional display of human organs from computed tomograms. *Computer Graphics and Image Processing*, 9(1):1–21, 1979.
- [19] S. Islam, S. Dipankar, D. Silver, and M. Chen. Spatial and temporal splitting of scalar fields in volume graphics. In *Proceedings of the IEEE Symposium on Volume Visualization and Graphics 2004*, pages 87–94, 2004.
- [20] O. Konrad-Verse, B. Preim, and A. Littmann. Virtual resection with a deformable cutting plane. In *Proceedings of Simulation und Visualisierung* 2004, pages 203–214, 2004.
- [21] J. Krüger and R. Westermann. Acceleration techniques for GPU-based volume rendering. In *Proceedings of IEEE Visualization 2003*, pages 287– 292, 2003.
- [22] M. McGuffin, L. Tancau, and R. Balakrishnan. Using deformations for browsing volumetric data. In *Proceedings of IEEE Visualization 2003*, pages 401–408, 2003.
- [23] C. Niederauer, M. Houston, M. Agrawala, and G. Humphreys. Noninvasive interactive visualization of dynamic architectural environments. In *Proceedings of the Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics 2003*, pages 55–58, 2003.
- [24] S. Owada, F. Nielsen, K. Nakazawa, and T. Igarashi. A sketching interface for modeling the internal structures of 3D shapes. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Smart Graphics 2003*, pages 49–57, 2003.
- [25] S. Owada, F. Nielsen, M. Okabe, and T. Igarashi. Volumetric illustration: Designing 3D models with internal textures. In *Proceedings of ACM SIG-GRAPH 2004*, pages 322–328, 2004.
- [26] V. Singh and D. Silver. Interactive volume manipulation with selective rendering for improved visualization. In *Proceedings of IEEE Symposium* on Volume Visualization and Graphics 2004, pages 95–102, 2004.
- [27] V. Singh, D. Silver, and N. Cornea. Real-time volume manipulation. In Proceedings of the International Workshop on Volume Graphics 2003, pages 45–51, 2003.
- [28] S. Stegmaier, M. Strengert, T. Klein, and T. Ertl. A simple and flexible volume rendering framework for graphics-hardware-based raycasting. In *Proceedings of the International Workshop on Volume Graphics 2005*, pages 187–195, 2005.
- [29] I. Viola, A. Kanitsar, and M. E. Gröller. Importance-driven feature enhancement in volume visualization. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization* and Computer Graphics, 11(4):408–418, 2005.
- [30] S. W. Wang and A. E. Kaufman. Volume sculpting. In Proceedings of the Symposium on Interactive 3D Graphics 1995, pages 151–156, 1995.
- [31] D. Weiskopf, K. Engel, and T. Ertl. Interactive clipping techniques for texture-based volume visualization and volume shading. *IEEE Transactions on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 9(3):298–312, 2003.

Algorithm 2 Multi-Object Ray Casting: f_B and b_B are the ray's entry and exit points for the background object, f_S and b_S for the selection object

```
if b_B.depth < f_B.depth \land b_S.depth < f_S.depth then
   if b_S.depth < f_S.depth then
      CompositeBackground(f_B, b_B)
   else if b_B.depth < f_B.depth then
      CompositeSelection(f_S, b_S)
   else
      if f_B.depth < f_S.depth then
         if b_B.depth < f_S.depth then
             CompositeBackground(f_B, b_B)
            CompositeSelection(f_S, b_S)
         else
                = SelectionToBackground(f_S)
             fs
             CompositeBackground(f_B, f'_S)
            if b_B.depth < b_S.depth then
                b'_B = BackgroundToSelection(b_B)
                CompositeBackgroundSelection(f'_S, b_B, f_S, b'_B)
                CompositeSelection(b'_B, b_S)
             else
                b'_{S} = SelectionToBackground(b_{S})
                CompositeBackgroundSelection(f'_S, b'_S, f_S, b_S)
                CompositeBackground(b'_S, b_B)
             end if
         end if
      else
         if b_S.depth < f_B.depth then
             CompositeSelection(f_S, b_S)
            CompositeBackground(f_B, b_B)
         else
             f'_B = \text{BackgroundToSelection}(f_B)
             CompositeSelection(f_S, f'_B)
             if b_B.depth < b_S.depth then
                b'_B = BackgroundToSelection(b_B)
                CompositeBackgroundSelection(f_B, b_B, f'_B, b'_B)
                CompositeSelection(b'_B, b_S)
             else
                b'_{S} = SelectionToBackground(b_{S})
                \vec{\text{CompositeBackgroundSelection}}(f_B, b'_S, f'_B, b_S)
                CompositeBackground(b'_{S}, b_{B})
             end if
         end if
      end if
   end if
end if
```