

## 3D-Datastructures: Requirements

- general objects
- exact representation of objects
- generation of models via digitization
- combinations
- linear transformation
- interaction
- memory consumption
- fast rendering

Eduard Gröller, Thomas Theuß1

## 3D-Datastructures: Overview

- Point Cloud
- Wireframe
- Boundary Representation
- Binary Space Partitioning Tree
- Extended Octree
- Bintree
- Grid


## Point Cloud

Object = set (list) of points

- e.g. from a digitizer
- for fast and simple preview
- exact representation if >=1 points/pixel (more efficient than 1 pixel sized polygons)


## Operations with Point Clouds

## - transformations

multiply the points in the point list with linear transformation matrices

- combinations
objects can be combined by appending the point lists to each other
- rendering
project and draw the points onto the image plane
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## Point Cloud Properties

- advantages
- fast rendering
- exact representation \& rendering possible
- fast transformations
- generation of models via digitization
- disadvantages
- many points (curved obj., exact representation)
- high memory consumption

■ limited combination operations
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## QSplat (1)

- 3D scan of 2.7 meter statue of St. Matthew at 0.25 mm
- 102,868,637 points
- File size: 644 MB
- Preprocessing time: 1 hour
- Demo on laptop (PII 366, 128 MB ), no 3D graphics hardware
- http://graphics.stanford.edu /software/qsplat/
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## Wireframe

Object is simplified to 3D lines, each edge of the object is represented by a line in the model.
edge list
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## Operations with Wire-Frame Model

## - transformations

multiply the points in the point list with linear transformation matrices

- combinations
objects can be combined by appending the point and edge lists to each other
- rendering
projection of all points onto image plane and drawing of edges in between


## Wire-Frame Model Properties

- advantages
- quick rendering
- easy and quick transformations
- generation of models via digitization
- disadvantages
- high memory consumption
- inexact (no surfaces, no occlusion)
- restricted combination possibilities

■ curves are approximated by straight lines


## Winged Edge Data Structure

alternative for normal hierarchical B-Rep. here the central element is the edge:


## Operations with B-Reps

## - transformations

all points are transformed as with wireframe model, additionally surface equations or normal vectors can be transformed

## Operations with B-Reps (2)

## - combinations

1. split the polygons of object $A$ at the intersections with the polygons of object $B$
2.split the polygons of object $B$ at ... of $A$
2. classify all polygons of $A$ as "in B", "outside $B$ " or "on the surface of $B$ "
3. classify all polygons of $B$ in the same way
4. remove the redundant polygons of $A$ and $B$ according to the operator and combine the remaining polygons of $A$ and $B$
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## Combinations of B-Reps, steps 3. and 4.

- a ray is traced in the direction of the normal vector of the polygon to be classified:
- ray hits no polygon of $B \Rightarrow$ "outside $B$ "
- first polygon of $B$ hit from front $\Rightarrow$ "outside $B "$
- first polygon of $B$ hit from back $\Rightarrow$ "in B"
- use only convex polygons and produce only convex polygons as results $\Rightarrow$ simple intersection tests
"outside B"

"in B"


Combinations of B-Reps, steps 3. and 4.
improvement: points of $A$, which lie on the surface of $B$, are marked as border points during the dividing process (and vice versa) $\Rightarrow$ only very few polygons have to be classified with the complex method border point

border point
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Combinations of B-Reps, step 5.
polygons can be removed according to the tables: for polygons of A

| olyof A | op. | in B | outside B | on B (coplanar) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | NV equal | different |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { oly- } \\ & \text { of } \end{aligned}$ | A or B | yes | no | no | yes |
|  | $A$ and $B$ | no | yes | no | yes |
|  | A sub B | yes | no | yes | no |
|  |  | in A | outside A | on A (co | pplanar) |
|  | op. |  |  | NV equal | different |
|  | A or B | yes | no | yes | yes |
|  | $A$ and $B$ | no | yes | yes | yes |
|  | A sub B | no | yes | yes | yes |



## Representation of Solids in a B-Rep

> a B-Rep allows also polygon sets which represent no solid object.
therefore: definition of a semantic


Eduard Gröller, Thomas Theußl

## Properties of B-Reps

- advantages
- general representation
- generation of models via digitization
- transformations are easy and fast
- disadvantages
- high memory requirement
- combinations are relatively costly
- curved objects must be approximated
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## Partitioning of Object Surfaces

necessary to approximate curved surfaces

- surfaces that can be parametrized: e.g. free form surfaces, quadrics, superquadrics
partitioning of parameter space, one patch for every 2D parameter interval
- surfaces that cannot be parametrized: e.g. implicit surfaces, "bent" polygons $\Rightarrow$ tesselation, subdivision surfaces

[^0]
## Binary Space Partitioning Tree

special B-Rep for quick rendering with visibility especially of static scenes
polygon nodes with surface equation and normal vector polygon vertices

point list
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## Generation of BSP Trees

- convex objects: BSP tree is linear list
- else: conversion B-Rep $\Rightarrow$ BSP tree

Algorithm:

1. find the polygon who's plane intersects the fewest other polygons and cut these in two
2. divide the polygon list in two sets: in front of that plane / behind that plane
3. the polygon found in 1 . is the root of the BSP tree, the left and the right subtrees can be generated recursively (from two "halves")

More BSP Examples



BSP Trees as Solids


left empty trees represent outside space, right empty trees represent inside volumes

## Operations with BSP Trees (1)

## - transformations

points, plane equation and normal vector have to be transformed

## - combinations

- perform combination with B-Rep, then generate BSP tree
- combine BSP trees directly (faster)


## Combination of BSP Trees


the structure of one tree has to act as
structure for the result
$\Rightarrow$ one tree has to be included into the other

BSP Algorithm for A op B = C:

- A or B homogeneous (full or empty) $\Rightarrow$ simple rules
- else:

1. divide root polygon $a$ of $A$ at object $B$ in $a_{\text {in }}, a_{\text {out }}$
2. root node $c$ of $C$ : if op="and" then $c:=a_{i n}$ else $\mathrm{c}:=\mathrm{a}_{\text {out }}$ (with its plane)
3. divide $B$ at plane of $a$ in $B_{\text {in }}, B_{\text {out }}$
4. recursive evaluation of the subtrees:

$$
\mathrm{C}_{\text {left }}=\mathrm{A}_{\text {out }} \text { op } \mathrm{B}_{\text {out }} \quad \mathrm{C}_{\text {right }}=A_{\text {in }} \text { op } B_{\text {in }}
$$
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Simple BSP Node Combination Rules

| op | A | B | A op B |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| or | inhom. | full | full |
|  | inhom. | empty | A |
|  | full | inhom. | full |
| and | empty | inhom. | B |
|  | inhom. | full | A |
|  | inhom. | empty | empty |
|  | full | inhom. | B |
| sub | empty | inhom. | empty |
|  | inhom. | full | empty |
|  | inhom. | empty | A |
|  | full | inhom. | -B |
|  | empty | inhom. |  |
| empty |  |  |  |

Combination of BSP Trees: $\cup$



## Operations with BSP Trees (3)

- rendering of BSP objects

BSP trees are very good for fast rendering
Painter's Algorithm:
IF eye is in front of a (in A+)
THEN BEGIN draw all polygons of A-; draw a; draw all polygons of A+ END
ELSE BEGIN draw all polygons of A+; (draw a);
draw all polygons of A- END;
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## BSP Tree Properties

- disadvantages
- curved objects must be approximated
- only convex polygons
- high memory cost

Combination of BSP Trees:


C


( $\mathbf{b}_{\text {in }}$ and $\mathbf{c}_{\text {in }}$ are empty)

## BSP Tree Properties

## - advantages

- fast rendering
- fast transformation
- combinations faster than for B-Reps
- general representation
- generation of models via digitization
$\qquad$
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## 

## k-D Tree

- Special case of BSP Tree
- Only axes-aligned partitioning planes => specified by one value
- Partitioning direction specified either implicitly (pre-defined order) or explicitly
- 1-D Tree $\Leftrightarrow$ binary tree
k-D Tree Example: 2-D Tree
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Extended Octrees
additional node types:

- face nodes contain a surface
-edge nodes contain an edge - vertex nodes
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## Generation of Extended Octrees

1. generate B-Rep
2. divide point and surface list at the subdivision planes into 8 sets
3. for each octant:

- point and surface lists empty $\Rightarrow$ full or empty
- only one vertex $\Rightarrow$ vertex node
- only one surface $\Rightarrow$ face node
- only two surfaces $\Rightarrow$ edge node
- else: subdivide recursively

Octree as Spatial Directory
Octree as search structure for objects in other representations
e.g. for B-Reps:

octree of low depth is sufficient
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Bintree
-3-D Tree

- subdivision order xyzxyz...
- choose separation plane for
optimized (irregular)
subdivision
- fewer nodes than octree

[^1]
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[^1]:    Grid

    - regular subdivision
    - directly addressable cells
    - simple neighbour finding $O(1)$, e.g., for ray traversal
    - problem:
    too few/many cells
    $\Rightarrow$ Hierarchical Grid
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