Algorithmen für die Echtzeitgrafik

Algorithmen für die Echtzeitgrafik

Daniel Scherzer
scherzer@cg.tuwien.ac.at

LBI Virtual Archeology

Soft Shadows

Hard Shadows





Soft Shadows





Soft Shadows



Penumbra



No Umbra

Shadow Hardening on Contact

Occluder Fusion



Occluder Fusion



Shadowing = Point–Region Visibility

- Task: Determine visible fraction of light source for each receiver sample



Soft Shadows

Image-based Approaches

Image-based Approaches

- Plausible faking by adapting hard shadows
- Adaptive blurring of hard shadow test results
  - Percentage-closer soft shadows
- Reconstructing and backprojecting occluders
  - Soft shadow mapping
- Approaching utilizing multiple shadow maps
- Occlusion textures

Blurring of Hard Shadow Test Results

- Use any of the approaches presented before
- Yields a soft-shadow-like appearance



VSM, 512×512, 62×62

Blurring of Hard Shadow Test Results

- Use any of the approaches presented before
- Yields a soft-shadow-like appearance
- But ignores varying penumbra width



Reference

Blurring of Hard Shadow Test Results

- Idea: Choose blur kernel size adaptively
  - But how?
- Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows (PCSS)
  [Fernando, SIGGRAPH 2005 Sketch]



PCSS

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows



1. Blocker search

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows



1. Blocker search

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows



1. Blocker search

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows



2. Penumbra width estimation

$$w_{\text{penumbra}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}_z^s - z_{\text{avg}}}{z_{\text{avg}}} w_{\text{light}}$$

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows



50%

3. Filtering

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows

- Three steps
  - Blocker search
  - Penumbra width estimation
  - Filtering

Two of them require many shadow map accesses!

- Speed-up approaches
  - Sub-sampling
  - Pre-filtering

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows

- Quality vs. number of shadow map samples

Blocker search: 15×15
Filtering: 31×31
Regular sampling



29 fps
(1024×1024, GeForce GTX 285)

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows

- Quality vs. number of shadow map samples

Blocker search: 7×7
Filtering: 15×15
Regular sampling



120 fps
(1024×1024, GeForce GTX 285)

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows

- Quality vs. number of shadow map samples

Blocker search: 32
Filtering: 64
Poisson disk sampling



321 fps
(1024×1024, GeForce GTX 285)

Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows

- Quality vs. number of shadow map samples

Blocker search: 25
Filtering: 25
Poisson disk sampling



519 fps
(1024×1024, GeForce GTX 285)

Pre-Filtering

- Filtering step does just percentage-closer filtering
  - Using alternative shadow map representations (like VSM or CSM) allows for pre-filtering
  - Later, blurring reduces to a single texture fetch

But how to support adaptive filter region sizes?

- Mipmapping (or alternatively N-buffers)
  - Store results for several discrete filter sizes and interpolate
- Summed-area table
  - Supports arbitrary rectangular box filter kernels

Pre-Filtering

- Blocker search can also be sped up with pre-filtering
- Convolution Soft Shadows
  [Annen et al., SIGGRAPH 2008]
  - Observation: Averaging the depth of shadow map samples closer to the light can be expressed as a convolution
  - Hence approach analogous to CSM is possible



Percentage Closer Soft Shadows - Problems



Percentage Closer Soft Shadows - Problems

- Main sources of physical incorrectness
  - Single planar occluder assumption
  - Classification as light blocking solely based on depth test



Percentage-Closer Soft Shadows

+ Simple and reasonably fast
+ Often visually pleasing results
  (at least for smaller light sources)

– Not really physically plausible
– Only accounts for occluders visible from light source's center



Occluder Backprojection

- For each (relevant) occluder
  - Project it onto light source
  - Determine covered light area
  - Aggregate this occlusion information
- Gathering

  For all receiver points

  For all potential occluders
- Scattering

  For all occluders

  For all affected receiver points



Soft Shadow Mapping

[Atty et al., CGF 2006; Guennebaud et al., EGSR 2006]

- Approximate (subset of) occluder geometry
- Generate shadow map (from light's center)
- Derive occluder approx-
  in ting
  le ce





Soft Shadow Mapping

- Backproject micropatches onto light source to determine visibility
- Simple approach: Sum up projections' covered areas
  - Ignores overlaps





Overlapping Artifacts



Reference

Area accumulation
(without accounting for overlaps)

Overlapping Artifacts



Reference

Occlusion bitmasks
(with correct overlap handling)

Occlusion Bitmasks
[Schwarz & Stamminger, EG 2007]

- Sample visibility instead of accumulating areas
  - Set of binary point-to-point visibility relations
  - Bit field is employed to track visibilities of sample points on light source





Micropatches

[Atty et al., CGF 2006; Guennebaud et al., EGSR 2006]

- Lexel-sized rectangles constructed around each unprojected sample that passes depth test





Micropatches

- Suffer from light leaks
  - Requires gap filling,
    e.g. by extending micropatches
- Piecewise-constant approximation
  - Prone to surface-acne artifacts
- Frequent occluder overestimation
  - But helps capturing fine structures
- Backprojection is
  axis-aligned rectangle
  - Simple and fast processing



Microquads

[Schwarz & Stamminger, EG 2007]

- Quads constructed from four adjacent unprojected samples that pass depth test





Microquads

- No light leaks
- Piecewise-(bi)linear approximation
  - Often better fit to original geometry
  - Less prone to surface acne artifacts
- Tendency to underestimate occluders
  - May miss fine structures

Microtris

[Schwarz & Stamminger, EG 2008 Short Paper]

- If only three out of 2×2 samples pass depth test, construct triangle from their unprojections





Acceleration

- Avoid useless computations
  - Multi-scale representations
  - Search area pruning
  - Hierarchical occluder construction
- Adapt accuracy
  - Micro-occluder subsampling
  - Coarser occluder approximations
  - Subsampling in screen space

Search Area Determination



Acceleration Structures

How to determine depth range of a shadow map region?



Hierarchical Shadow Map

[Guennebaud et al., EG 2006]

- Min/max pyramid of shadow map (hierarchical z-buffer)
- Stored in mipmap chain of shadow map

- Cheap, but often yields loose search areas

Multi-scale Shadow Map

[Schwarz & Stamminger, EG 2007]

- Stack of depth ranges for all power-of-two-sized neighborhoods
- Stored in array texture



- Tight search areas, but can be costly

Hybrid Y Shadow Map

[Schwarz & Stamminger, GI 2008]

- Goal: Get the best of both
  - Low cost from HSM
  - Tight search areas from MSSM



- Hybrid between HSM and MSSM
  - Pyramid (HSM) for finest levels
  - Stack (MSSM) for remaining ones

Acceleration Structures

Acceleration Structures



Acceleration Structures



Acceleration Structures



Hierarchical Occluder Construction

- HSM is a quadtree constructed over shadow map
  - Traverse this tree to identify and process relevant micropatches

    [Dmitriev, 2007]

- MSSM is essentially a forest of quadtrees
  - Hierarchically extract occluder contours

    [Yang et al., EGSR 2009]

Coarser Occluder Approximations

- Goal: Limit number of processed shadow map texels
- Approach: Use coarser-resolution shadow map
  - Can take appropriate HSM level
  - Level selection is done per receiver point
  - Correct depth bias?
- May lower visual quality
  - Decreased smoothness and detail in the shadow shape
  - Changes in shadow region size



Coarser Occluder Approximations

Coarser Occluder Approximations

Coarser Occluder Approximations

Coarser Occluder Approximations

Coarser Occluder Approximations

Coarser Occluder Approximations



Coarser Occluder Approximations



Coarser Occluder Approximations

Example

- Reference



Example

- Search area: max. 20×20
- Micropatches
- Area accumulation
- YSM



105 fps
(1024×1024, GeForce GTX 285)

Example

- Search area: max. 20×20
- Micropatches
- Occlusion bitmasks
  (16×16, jittered)
- YSM



51 fps
(1024×1024, GeForce GTX 285)

Example

- No imposed search area bound
- Micropatches
- Occlusion bitmasks
  (16×16, jittered)
- YSM



< 1 fps
(1024×1024, GeForce GTX 285)

Example

- Reference again



Soft Shadow Mapping

+ Physically plausible
+ Rather high quality at real-time frame rates possible



- Performance strongly dependent on
  - Search area size
  - Number of pixels requiring backprojection
- Uses only approximation of subset of occluders
  - Typically those visible from the light source's center
- Usually all gaps are closed invariably

Multiple Shadow Maps





Multiple Shadow Maps



Map 1



Multiple Shadow Maps





Multiple Shadow Maps

- Acquire shadow maps from several points on light
- Merge them into an extended shadow map

- Layered attenuation maps
  [Agrawala et al., SIGGRAPH 2000]
- Penumbra deep shadow maps
  [St-Amour et al., GI 2005]

- Raytracing against multi-layered shadow maps
  [Lischinski & Rappoport, EGWR 1998; Keating & Max, EGWR 1999; Agrawala et al., SIGGRAPH 2000; Xie et al., EGSR 2007]
- Merging by exploiting temporal coherence
  [Scherzer et al., ISVC 2009]

Occlusion Textures

[Eisemann and Décoret, SIBGRAPI 2006]

- Decompose scene into multiple planar layers
  - Slice scene parallel to light source
  - Project geometry within slice onto slice's bottom plane



Occlusion Textures

- The covered parts of each slice are encoded in a binary occlusion texture

Visibility Computation = Filtering

[Soler and Sillion, SIGGRAPH 1998]

- Rectangular light source
- Planar occluder parallel to light
  - Represented by occlusion texture
- Visibility is obtained via box filtering the occlusion texture
  - Filter size equals appropriately scaled light size



Occlusion Textures

- Pre-filter occlusion textures
  - Mip-mapping
  - N-buffers
  - Summed-area table



- For each blocking slice, lookup appropriately filtered response in pre-filtered occlusion texture
  - Accumulate shadow contributions (multiplicatively)

Occlusion Textures

+ Plausible soft shadows at high frame rates
+ Performance independent of light size
- Mainly suited for compact indoor environments
– Heuristic occluder fusion handling
– Discretization of scene into small number of slices can cause some quality problems

Image-based Approaches

- Percentage-closer soft shadows
- Soft shadow mapping
- Occlusion textures

- Use sampled representation of (subset of) occluders
  - Supports versatile geometry
  - Limits accuracy